

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

he

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/019,367	04	4/30/2002	Gordon Duane Hopkins	5006602-6	7944
38678	590	07/12/2004		EXAMINER	
IAN FINCHAM				GANEY, STEVEN J	
SUITE 606	En amb n	N. C.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
225 METCALFE STREET				ARTONII	TATER NUMBER
OTTAWA, ON K2P 1P9				3752	
CANADA				DATE MAILED: 07/12/2004	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			•	
-		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/019,367	HOPKINS, GORDON DUANE	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Steven J. Ganey	3752	
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
THE - Exte after - If th - If NO - Failt Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vare to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timent of thirty (30) day within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE.	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 A	<u>pril 2004</u> .		
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.		
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i>	· ·		
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5) <u></u> 6)⊠	Claim(s) 23-31 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 23-31 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Applicat	ion Papers			
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the E	Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	∋ 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		• •	
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachmen				
1) 🕍 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da		
3) 🔲 Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 3752

DETAILED ACTION

1. Receipt is acknowledged of the amendment filed on April 14, 2004, which has been fully considered in this action.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 23, 29 and 31 are objected to because of the following informalities: In claim 23, line 12, the phrase "said first and second valves" should be --said first and second valve means--in order to maintain proper antecedent basis. In claim 29, line 2, the phrase "said first and second valves" should be --said first and second valve means-- in order to maintain proper antecedent basis. In claim 31, lines 2 and 4, the phrase "said first valve" should be --said first valve means--, in line 3, the phrase "said second valve" should be --said second valve means--, and in line 5 the phrase "said first and second valves" should be --said first and second valve means-- in order to maintain proper antecedent basis. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 4. Claims 23-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant

Art Unit: 3752

art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The specification is silent to the embodiment where the first valve means dispenses the gas and where the second valve means dispenses the liquid. The only embodiment disclosed appears to be where the first valve means dispenses the liquid and the second valve means dispenses the gas.

In regard to claim 28, the specification is silent to the embodiment where there is a means for feeding liquid from the transfer passage to an outlet in the bore, it appears that only gas is dispensed from the transfer passage.

- 5. Claims 23-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for the first valve means dispenses the liquid and the second valve means dispenses the gas, does not reasonably provide enablement for the first valve means dispenses the gas and where the second valve means dispenses the liquid. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. As currently claimed the invention operates opposite to what is disclosed and shown. The only embodiment disclosed appears to be where the first valve means dispenses the liquid and the second valve means dispenses the gas.
- 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 3752

7. Claims 25, 28 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 25, lines 2 and 3, the recitation of the "plug means" is indefinite since as currently claimed if the plug is at the other end for closing the bore then no gas would be able to be dispensed from the device. This claim appears to be directed to the embodiment in figure 7. If applicant is attempting to direct the claim to the embodiment in Figure 8, then another end of the bore perpendicular to the transfer passage should be recited.

In claim 28, lines 3 and 4, "said bore" is indefinite, since it is not clear if this is the bore of the transfer passage or the bore of the body.

In claim 28, line 4, the phrase "an outlet" raises double inclusion issues since it appears to be reciting the same outlet recited in claim 1, line 3, "a body having separate outlets".

In claims 28 and 29, lines 1 and 2, respectively, the recitation of "a valve body", raises double inclusion issues since "a body" has already been positively recited in claim1, line 3, and both the "body" and "valve body" are the same element.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 3752

9. Claims 23, 24, 26 and 30 (as best understood) are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lee '689.

Lee '689 shows a n apparatus for dispending a gas and liquid comprising a container 1, a body 4/11 with separate outlets for gas 13 and liquid 12; first valve means 18 for the gas; second valve means 24 for the liquid; and means 14 to open together each of the first and second valve means.

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 23-31 have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Conclusion

- 11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Dunn, O'Neil, Vork and Davis show apparatuses for dispensing air and liquid separately from a container.
- 12. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Art Unit: 3752

Page 6

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Steven J. Ganey whose telephone number is (703) 308-2585.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Tuesday, Thursday and Friday from 8:00

AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Michael Mar, can be reached on (703) 308-2087. The fax phone number for this

Group is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

sjg

7/11/04

STEVEN J. GANEY PRIMARY EXAMINER

7/11/04